## Performance Tracing & Profiling

Holger Pirk

Slides as of 14/01/22 11:57:44

#### Recall:

## The Optimization Loop



#### Imperial College London

#### So, how do we identify optimization opportunities?

## How to identify optimization opportunities

- We identify the hot path (the code that takes the most time)
- We identify the bottleneck
  - in terms of CPU, Memory, Network, ...
- · Both are functions of the system behavior

#### So, how do we describe system behavior?

### What are events?

- Definition: Any change of the system state
- Usually restricted to a certain granularity
  - Simple/atomic events
    - · sent package, executed instruction, loaded address from memory
    - clock has ticked
  - Complex events
    - cache line evicted from L1 to L2 cache, instruction aborted due to misspeculation
- Events have an optional payload
- An event has an *accuracy*: the degree to which its value represents reality

## What can you do with events?

#### Where they come from

- Event Sources are have two components
  - The generator observes the changes to the system state
    - Usually online, i.e., part of the runtime environment/system
  - The consumer processes the events
    - Can be offline or online

#### Where they go

- Tracing
- Profiling

#### Imperial College London

## Trace

- Definition: A complete log of every state the system has ever been in (in the period of interest)
  - Comprised of events
  - Events are ordered (usually totally ordered)
- Accuracy is "inherited" from the vents
- Event collection overhead may be high

#### Example: Call stack tracing

# Call stack tracing



• So, what does a stack look like in reality?

### A call stack



#### Imperial College London

# Call stack tracing

#### Problems

- Recording the entire call stack is quite expensive
  - · The stack needs to be walked, pointers need chasing
  - Call stacks can be deep
  - · All frame pointers have to be written to memory
- In particular for small/cheap functions, call stack processing can be way more expensive than the function itself

We call this problem...

## Perturbation

#### Definition: Perturbation

The degree to which the performance of a system changes when it is being analyzed.

- Perturbation negatively affects accuracy if it is non-deterministic
- A bit like quantum theory
  - You influence the state of the system just by looking at it

#### How do we reduce perturbation?

## How to reduce perturbation

- We reduce *fidelity*
- Fidelity (Oxford Dictionary): the degree of exactness with which something is copied or reproduced
- Perfect fidelity, i.e., every event is recorded
- Reduced fidelity, i.e., not every event is recorded

#### How?

# Sampling

- · Idea: do not collect all events to reduce perturbation
- Option 1: Sample in regular intervals
- Option 2: Sample in random intervals

# Example: Call stack sampling

- Idea: Skip some events
  - there is a chance you will not sample a function
  - · Fortunately, more expensive functions will be sampled more often
- But:
  - good performance
  - even more important: less perturbation
  - fidelity can be traded against performance/fidelity

What is an interval?

# Sampling Intervals

- The distance of two samples being taken
  - Obviously, interval size 1 makes sampling equal to event-tracing
- Two options for specification: time-based and event-based

## Time-based Intervals

- Idea: set a (hardware) recurrant timer and sample whenever it runs out
- We use CPU reference cycles as a proxy metric
- Inaccurate, non-deterministic and noisy (computer clocks are poorly defined)
  - Clock rate varies, clocks may not be exactly synchronized among CPUs, etc.
- Easy to interpret (since time is inversely proportional to performance)

## Event-based Intervals

- Generalization of Time-based intervals (since computer time is discrete)
- Define an interval in terms of the occurence of an event
- Example: sample every fifth function call
- Accurate, deterministic semantics and low noise
- Tricky to interpret (in the end, we are interested in time)

### Quantization errors

- Interval resolution is limited (usually to single clock cycles but sometimes more)
- Time is (practically) continuous
- This introduces "quantization errors/biases"
  - E.g., costs being attributed to the wrong state

Here is an interesting instance of event-based intervals:

# Indirect Tracing

- · Idea: trace events that dominate others
  - Think of it as intervals defined by the execution flow
  - For example, control-flow instructions (if, else, for, while) dominate non-control-flow instructions
  - can be used to reduce overhead
  - Fidelity and accuracy depends on the event and the indirection

# Wrapup: Tracing

- Tracing collects (subsets of) events
- Perturbation is a problem but can be worked around
- But: Analyzing traces is extremely tedious
  - · Lots of data, little structure, lots of cognitive overhead

The solution:

# Profiling

Definition: Profile

An outline of something, especially a person's face, as seen from one side.

# Profiling



Imperial College London

# Profiling

#### Definition: Profile

A graphical or other representation of information relating to particular characteristics of something, recorded in quantified form

#### In our context

A characterization of a system in terms of the resources it spends in certain states.

# Profile

- An aggregate over the events of a specific metric
  - This can be a global aggregate
    - Total cache misses, total CPU cycles
  - Or broken down by some other event
    - Cycles per instruction (CPI), cache misses by line of code
- Caution: Information is lost
- Why?
  - Post-mortem for ease of interpretation
  - Realtime to reduce perturbation (assuming aggregation is cheaper than dumping)

## Flame Graphs



https://queue.acm.org/detail.cfm?id=2927301

#### Imperial College London

# Flame Graphs

- X-axis shows the stack profile population, sorted alphabetically (not by time),
- Y-axis shows stack depth
- Each rectangle represents a stack frame
- Width of a box is preoportional to the number of collected samples
- Colors are usually not significant

Okay, now that we know what to do with events...
... let us talk about specific ways to collect events

## Requirements for event sources

- Detailed
  - As much information as we need
- Accurate
  - · The measurements should closely describe the real-world
- Little perturbation

## Where to get events?

#### Software

- Library: Manual Instrumentation/Logging
- Compiler: Automatic Instrumentation
- OS: Kernel Counters
- Hardware:
  - Performance counter
- Emulator:
  - a funky hybrid, minimal perturbation but usually not scalable

#### Instrumentation

- Augmenting program with event logging code
- Advantages
  - No need for any hardware support
  - very flexible
- Disadvantages
  - Overhead is high
  - Perturbation is high

#### Instrumentation

- Three approaches
  - Manual Instrumentation
  - Automatic source-level instrumentation
  - Automatic binary instrumentation
    - Static (compile-time) or
    - Dynamic (runtime)
    - As usual, there are hybrids

# Manual

- basically printf logging (or using a logging library)
- Advantages
  - Fine control over instrumentation
  - · Needs no support from hardware or compiler
- Disadvantages
  - high overhead for implementation & runtime
  - usually disabled for release build
    - · needs recompilation for selective enabling

### Automatic

- Usually compiler-supported
- Source-to-source rewriting is possible
- Disadvantages
  - Less control
  - Need for compiler support
- Advantages
  - Let's discuss this!

## Binary Instrumentation

- Static
  - No magic, simple, portable
  - · Instrumentation overhead can be assessed from binary
- Dynamic
  - No recompilation
  - · Can be performed on running process
  - Works with JiT-compiled code

• http://llvm.org/docs/XRay.html

## LLVM-XRay

```
curl --compressed https://www.gutenberg.org/cache/epub/2229/pg2229.txt | iconv -c -f UTF8 -t

→ ASCII | tr -d '\r' > faust.txt

for i in {1..1000}; do cat faust.txt >> faust1000.txt; done

clang++ -g -00 -fxray-instrument -fxray-instruction-threshold=1 ~/pegrep.cpp

XRAY_OPTIONS="patch_premain=true xray_mode=xray-basic verbosity=1" ./a.out faust1000.txt
```

```
llvm-xray convert -f yaml -symbolize -instr_map ./a.out xray-log.a.out.* | less
llvm-xray account -sort=count -sortorder=dsc -instr_map ./a.out xray-log.a.out.*
```

# LLVM-XRay

#### Explanation

The logging functions by default prune records that are less than 5 microseconds equivalent in walltime deduced from the cycle counter deltas. This allows XRay to retain only records that have a measurable impact in walltime.

We want higher fidelity/lower overhead!

#### The solution: Hardware Support!

# Software Performance Counters (OS)

- Network Packages sent
- Virtual Memory Operations
- ...
- Let's say We want to write code that is efficient at the microarchitectural level

#### Software is good, Hardware is better!

### Hardware Performance Counters

- Special registers that can be configured to count low-level events
  - Fixed number can be active at runtime
- · Can be used to define collected events as well as intervals
- Unfortunately:
  - Often buggy or unmaintained
  - Sometimes poorly documented
  - Accuracy can be poor
    - The common ones are usually okay

### Examples

#### Try this

hlgr@sprite17:~\$ perf list pmu | egrep "^ [^]" | less | wc 802 1009 45255

### Examples

#### And this

```
hlgr@sprite17:~$ perf list pmu | tail +53 | head -n 20
cache:
  l1d.replacement
       [L1D data line replacements]
  11d pend miss.fb full
       [Number of times a request needed a FB entry but there was no entry
        available for it. That is the FB unavailability was dominant reason
        for blocking the request. A request includes cacheable/uncacheable
        demands that is load, store or SW prefetch]
  l1d_pend_miss.pending
       [L1D miss outstandings duration in cycles]
  lld_pend_miss.pending_cycles
       [Cvcles with L1D load Misses outstanding]
  l1d_pend_miss.pending_cycles_any
       [Cycles with L1D load Misses outstanding from any thread on physical
        corel
  12_lines_in.all
       [L2 cache lines filling L2]
  12 lines out.non silent
       [Counts the number of lines that are evicted by L2 cache when triggered
        by an L2 cache fill. Those lines are in Modified state. Modified lines
```

But most importantly

#### RTFM!

#### i.e., the "Intel 64 and IA-32 Architectures Optimization Reference Manual"

#### How does a CPU work?





#### A control hazard/pipeline bubble



#### A branch to be predicted (a.k.a., speculated upon)



#### A speculatively executed branch Fetch Decode Exec Mem Write ADD ADD CMP MUL BR MUL





- Bottom line:
  - CPUs can stall on control dependencies

#### **Resource Stalls**

An ALU Stall Fetch Decode CMP AND



### Resource Stalls

- Bottom line:
  - CPUs can stall due to lack of compute resources







- Bottom line:
  - CPUs can stall on data access

# Bottleneck analysis

- Let's find some microarchitectural bottlenecks
  - Data Stalls
  - ALU Stalls
  - Branch Mispredictions
  - Control-flow dependencies

### Bottleneck analysis



### Bottleneck analysis



## Provide feedback, please!



https://co339.pages.doc.ic.ac.uk/feedback/profiling

### Get the slides online



https://co339.pages.doc.ic.ac.uk/decks/Profiling.pdf